Case 1:14-cr-00068-KBF Document 316 Filed 02/20/18 Page 1 of 8

PAUL GRANT

LAW OFFICE OF PAUL GRANT
P.O. Box 2720
Parker CO 80134
paul_pglaw@yahoo.com
303-909-6133

February 20, 2018

BY ECF

Hon. Katherine B. Forrest United States District Judge United States District Court 500 Pearl Street New York NY 10007 Deniel.

[CB. 704

Re:

United States v. Ross William Ulbricht, et al, 14 Cr. 68 (KBF) Petition for Rehearing Re Denial of Request for Extension of Time to Submit Rule 33 Motion

Dear Judge Forrest:

I write on behalf of Defendant Ross Ulbricht to submit this petition for rehearing, asking that the court vacate its February 5, 2018 order (Doc. 309) denying the request for extension of time. I have contacted the government about this request and AUSA Eun Young Choi advises that the government will take no position regarding this petition insofar as Mr. Ulbricht is requesting the court reconsider its denial of the request for extension of time. The government did not oppose the original request for an extension of time. The government is not endorsing Mr. Ulbricht's arguments.

Mr. Ulbricht believes that the court has misapprehended, overlooked, or misapplied the following facts and pertinent law:

1. The court is mistaken in not noting that the evidence Mr. Ulbricht brought to the court's attention is, in fact, new evidence that was neither disclosed nor known to exist at the time of trial. The court stated that the evidence to which Mr. Ulbricht now points (that the FBI was monitoring the defendant's online movements) was known at trial.

What was known at the time of trial was that the FBI (claimed to have) monitored Mr. Ulbricht's online activities through the use of pen registers and trap and trace devices to collect pen register and trap and trace data ("PRTT data"), and that the FBI (claimed to have) conducted its activities pursuant to three pen/trap orders authorized by magistrates in the Southern District of New York. Doc. 307 at 2. What was known at the time of trial was that the government had stated that there was no undisclosed PRTT data that would be material to the preparation of the defense. Doc. 307 at 3.